

5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Applicants argued that the draft amendments to the claims fully addressed the §112 enablement rejection set forth in the Office Action dated March 31, 2005.

6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: Applicants requested entry of the Petition to Correct Inventorship filed in the application on October 30, 2003.

7. Results of Interview: The Examiner agreed that the draft claim amendments appeared to overcome the outstanding rejection of the claims as being non-enabled under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. The Examiner also stated that he would attend to the correction of the inventorship and that he would request a revised Official Filing Receipt be sent to Applicants.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

**It is believed that no petition or fee is required.** However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Respectfully submitted,



Drew Hisson  
Registration No. 44,765

Date: May 4, 2005